

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Will the GFI aero/engine model software be compatible with the Windows or Linux OS? Will any unique tools be required to modify the software?
				Answer: The aero/engine model will be compatible with the Linux operating system. No specialized tools are required to maintain the software
				Will the Government be able to provide any UH72A aircraft data, software, drawings, or technical documentation as GFI?
				Answer: No, the Government is not providing any of this as GFI.
				Can the Offeror's propose an additional storage trailer to account for hardware, tools, and spares?
				Answer: No, this is not an acceptable solution.
				What is the preferred solution of OFP functionality in the cockpit?
				Answer: The Government prefers a solution that uses the real aircraft OFP rehosted into the computing environment. However, a GPR emulation is also acceptable if it meets all requirements of the RFP.
				If the Offeror can provide a design that uses the real aircraft OFP but the OFP cannot be provided with GPR is this acceptable?
				Answer: If the design is based on the real aircraft OFP the preferred solution is with GPR. However, a limited or licensed approach will be accepted only if the conditions allow for distribution, use, and modification of executable and source code to any 3rd party for any Government purpose at no additional cost in perpetuity. If this licensed approach is proposed a copy of the signed agreement or license MUST BE PROVIDED IN THE PROPOSAL ADMIN VOLUME.
				The RFP states that the aero/engine model is GFI. Can we propose an alternate solution of our own aero/engine model?
				Answer: No, this is not an acceptable solution.
				The RFP states that the AVCATT OneSAF, SE Core TDBs, and SE Core CM2 are GFI. Can we propose alternate solutions for the SAF, terrain, and models? Can we use our own CM2, TDB, and SAF?
				Answer: An alternate SAF is not acceptable. For the TDBs and models it is acceptable to use the SE Core products or your own products that are derived from SE Core Openflight source files.
				Does the GFI SE Core CM2 include human life form models?
				Answer: No, SE Core does not provide human life form moving models.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
	Attach 01	3.3	8	The SOW states “The UH-72A SFTS shall be capable of system growth for potential integration and functional simulation of Mission Equipment Packages.”
	Attach 02	1.1, 1.2, 2, 2.2.13.2, 2.3, Appendix B	1, 4, 22, 23, 45, 46,	<p>The Spec says “Each UH-72A SFTS simulator pilot and CP position shall simulate the operation and performance of the S and S Battalion variant of the UH-72A helicopter. This shall include performing ATM tasks involving the following elements: pre-start, engine start ground checks, taxi, take-off, flight within the flight envelope, landing, the mission equipment package, and engine shut-down.”</p> <p>The S and S Battalion variant Mission Equipment Package is the most robust MEP installed on this aircraft. Is the intent of the SOW statement to infer that the design must allow additional MEP installations, not yet defined, to be accommodated in the design?</p>
				Answer: Yes. The S&S Battalion MEP is the initial requirement. The Government may desire to pursue other variants in the future via an Engineering Change Proposal.
	Attach 01 Attach 02 Attach 02 Attach 02	2.5 1.3 2 Appendix A	7 2 4 41	<p>The SOW and SPEC call out TC 1-272 as the Aircrew Training Manual (ATM) for the Light Utility Helicopter UH-72A.</p> <p>According to US ARMY.mil, Doctrine and Training. The ATM for the LUH UH-72A is TC 3-04.21, revision date 11/4/2013.</p>
				Answer: The correct ATM is TC 3-04.21, dated 4 NOV 2013.
	Attach 02	1.2 2.3.2.1.3	1 28	<p>Is effective vestibular and proprioceptive motion cueing the requirement, as stated in 1.2 Overview and Concept of Operations?</p> <p>Or is the Government intent on specifying the means to produce the cueing effect (e.g. motion seats vice any other method)?</p>
				Answer: The Government is not specifying a “means to produce.” Offerors are expected to propose their solution based upon the requirements of the Specification.
	Attach 01	3.2	8	Do the GFI Flight Model and Engine Model require the use of a specific software package in order to modify and/or execute? Do either the Flight Model or Engine Model require a run-time license to be purchased?
				Answer: The aero / engine model is compatible with the Redhat Linux operating system and there are no specialized tools required to modify and execute the software. No run-time license is required.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
Solicitation	Section D 5152.247-5001	N/A	12 of 127	Should the marking of warranted items be waived for sundry, interchangeable items such as computer keyboards and mice (unless special purpose) and power cables? Answer: No, the terms of the referenced clause are unchanged.
Solicitation	Section F	Delivery Information	17 of 127	Should delivery 22 months ADC apply to the first article in CLIN 0001 instead of the production article CLIN 0002? Answer: All documents and appropriate sections of the solicitation package will be amended to reflect the following: 1) CLIN 0001 will include a quantity of two devices to be delivered at 18 and 24 months (or earlier depending upon offeror approach). All subsequent SFTS will have a delivery of 15 months after option exercise.
Solicitation	Section F 52.211-9	Desired Delivery Schedule	19 of 127	Same as above. Should Item 1 be 22 months ARO and item 3 be 15 months ARO? Answer: All documents and appropriate sections of the solicitation package will be amended to reflect the following: 1) CLIN 0001 will include a quantity of two devices to be delivered at 18 and 24 months (or earlier depending upon offeror approach). All subsequent SFTS will have a delivery of 15 months after option exercise.
Solicitation	Section F 52.211-9	Required Delivery Schedule	20 of 127	Same as above. Should Item 1 be 22 months ARO and item 3 be 15 months ARO? Answer: All documents and appropriate sections of the solicitation package will be amended to reflect the following: 1) CLIN 0001 will include a quantity of two devices to be delivered at 18 and 24 months (or earlier depending upon offeror approach). All subsequent SFTS will have a delivery of 15 months after option exercise.
Solicitation	Section F 52.211-9	Offeror's Proposed Delivery Schedule	20 of 127	Title bar of table is misspelled. "Scheduke" sb "Schedule" Answer: Updated
Solicitation	Section H 5152.228-5001	Inclusive	32 of 127	Should the insurance policies referenced be shown to be applicable only to CLINs 0002, 0004, 0006, 0008, 0010, 0012, 0014, and 0016 (e.g. CLS Options)? Answer: No. Insurance requirements are applicable IAW the referenced clause for any potential work on a Government installation.
Solicitation	Section H 5152.232-5007	Inclusive	32, 33 of 127	Should the travel, per diem, and special materials reimbursement referenced be shown to be applicable only to CLIN 0017 (T&M)? All other CLINs are FFP and their values would include travel as well as labor, materials and ODC.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: No.
Solicitation	Section H 5152.237-5000	Inclusive	34,35 of 127	The oversight of contractor, program personnel is appropriate only in the execution of the "services" portion of the contract and not the development and/or production phases. In the case of Contractor Logistic Service (CLS) it is necessary to ensure that the pedigree of managers, engineers and/or technicians is maintained throughout performance of the contract and that the delivered training systems are kept in an operational state without disruption. Therefore we suggest that these paragraphs be limited and applicable only to CLINs 0002, 0004, 0006, 0008, 0010, 0012, 0014, and 0016 (e.g. CLS Options).
				Answer: This clause will be removed.
	Section H 5152.243-5000	(c)	35 of 127	Area code is (3231) and sb (321)
				Answer: Updated
Section L		L.11.1, L.6.9	107	Volume II General Instructions states "Offerors shall fill-in the line item pricing at Section B of the Model Delivery Order to include all options except for the T&M CLIN 0017 for Trailer movements. The Model Delivery Order containing a completed Section B shall be included as Appendix A to the Cost/Price volume." This is the same language that is used in Section L.11.1 (Volume III General Instructions), however, Section L.6.9 states "Offerors are advised that they are prohibited from including any cost and/or pricing information in any non-Cost/Price volume except where expressly required in the submission instructions". Since this appears to be instructions requiring that offerors provide cost/pricing information in a non-Cost/Price volume, would the Government please clarify if they intended to include line item pricing in Volume II (Technical)?
				Answer: Volume II instructions will be corrected.
Section L		L.9.2	14	The title of this Section is "Content of Volume III" - we believe that it should be changed to "Content of Volume I", as it is describing the contents of the Administrative Volume. Can you please clarify in greater detail?
				Answer: Content of Volume III will be corrected to Content of Volume I
Section L		L.10.6.1	109	The second paragraph states "The discussion will describe how the GFI flight model and the GFI SE Core and OneSAF products will be incorporated into the SFTS design, how it will be synchronized with the AVCATT baselines of these products, and how to manage those dependencies". However, "how it will be synchronized with the AVCATT baselines of these products" is ambiguous, and could be construed in several ways. Can you please clarify?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: The approach to use and integrate the GFI software must account for the fact that all of these software products are being modified under other contracts. The approach must be compliant with SOW paragraph 3.2 which states "This effort will not be based on a frozen baseline at the time of award i.e. multiple versions will be provided throughout the contract performance. The Contractor shall have a management process in place to coordinate baseline activities with the AVCATT UH72A Upgrade contractor and the SE Core contractor through the Governmental led Integrated Product Teams. It should account for multiple on-going developmental efforts being performed by other contractors.
Section F		F.1	17	The delivery date for CLIN 0001 is 15 mths. ADC. What does the Acronym ADC mean?
				Answer: ADC = After Date of Contract.
SOW		3.1	8	p. 8 Draft SOW says two UH-72A SFTSs shall be produced and delivered to Government designated locations within CONUS not later than twenty-one (21) months after contract award. P.17, Section F of Draft RFP says 22 months ADC, and p.19 says 22 months (ARO). Can you please clarify the requirement?
				Answer: First device will be due 18 months ADC and the second device at 24 months ADC; Subsequent devices will be 15 months after exercise of option.
Section L		L.11.2	110	One of the listed requirements is a Subcontract List(s) of Competitors. Can the Government clarify the requirement?
				Answer: Subcontract list(s) of Competitors was removed
Section F		F.1	17	Would the Government consider extending the delivery for the first article to 18 months?
				Answer: Yes.
L & M		52.232-18	23	Availability of Funds - states "Funds are not presently available for this contract." To justify us submitting a proposal for this effort please provide an assurance that a budget is forthcoming in the release of the RFP.
				Answer: Funding for all or part of this effort will be available upon release of an RFP.
SOW /B_M		1 & 3.1	6, 2	The SOW states: "The US Army National Guard has a requirement to procure 2 UH-72A SFTSs with options to purchase 6 additional systems. However, CLIN 0003 UH-72A SFTS #2 is listed as an option. Can you clarify which units are optional?"
				Answer: SFTS units 3 through 8 are options. SOW is being amended.
SOW		1 & 2.1	6	The SOW references the UH-72A SFTS specification dated 19 Aug 2013. The Draft RFP UH-72A SFTS specification references a specification dated 24 Dec 2013. Please confirm correct version of System Specification.
				Answer: Latest version of the Specification will be issued with the RFP; SOW will be amended accordingly.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
SOW		3.1	8	If multiple optional SFTS units are awarded unilaterally, what is the desired delivery requirements: 6 in one year, 3 in one year - 3 the next, etc...? Answer: 15 Months from option exercised.
SOW		3.2	8	What does "Flight Model Software" mean as opposed to flight model? Answer: Flight Model Software" and "Flight Model" are the same item.
SOW		3.2	8	Whose flight model and engine model software is being offered as GFI? If the name will be provided later, please advise when. Will it be provided with full documentation and ICD? Answer: The flight and engine model from the AVCATT UH-72A upgrade will be provided as GFI. Any available documentation will be provided as GFI.
SOW		3.2	8	What is included in the Flight Model Software? Answer: The GFI flight model software will be provided as executable and source code software.
		3.3	9	What is required by the contractor to fulfill the mission equipment package? Answer: Provide a SFTS that simulates the S&S configured UH-72A aircraft; that supports training all tasks to standard with no negative habit transfer.
SOW		3.3	9	The SOW states: (in paragraph 2) "This shall include...the mission equipment package..." Later on the same page (paragraph 6) it states "The UH-72A SFTS shall be capable of system growth for potential integration and functional simulation of Mission Equipment Packages." Is the Mission Equipment Package to be included now or in a future option? Answer: The S&S Battalion MEP is the initial requirement. The Government may desire to pursue other variants in the future via an Engineering Change Proposal.
SOW		3.3.5	11	Site delivery - please confirm the acceptance testing will only occur with the initial delivery and not each relocation site. Answer: Acceptance testing will only occur with the initial delivery of each SFTS. Accreditation testing will only occur on the first device delivered as long as subsequent SFTSs are of the exact configuration as the first device.
SOW		3.3.5.1	11	Site Survey: Will a site survey be required for each of the relocation sites as well? If so, when will the sites be available for a site survey? If each relocation site requires a site survey will it be priced as T&M or can the location names be provided for FFP? Answer: No.
SOW		3.6.7	15	Are Training Services (Operator & Maintenance) required at each of the relocation sites? Answer: Formal operator & maintainer training will not be required at relocation sites.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
SOW		1.2		The SOW references training tasks that include non-rated crew members (such as hoisting). Will the UH-72A SFTS crewmembers include only a Pilot and Copilot? If so, how will the non-crew rated tasks referenced be trained in the SFTS?
				Answer: The SFTS focus is the training of pilots and copilots roles during all tasks. A non-rated crewmember station is not required. "Tasks such as 2048 "Perform Sling Load Operations" and 2060 "Perform Rescue Hoist Operations" must still be accounted for to be performed by the pilot and co-pilot."
SS		2.3.1.8.2	32	Will the source Navigation database for OneSAF be provided, so that it can be integrated into the Navigation Systems Simulation?
				Answer: The OneSAF and SE Core products that will be provided can be all products that accompany an AVCATT database suite (EPM, OTF, CADRG, TIREM, DRLMS, DTED) as well as intermediate formats (Openflight).
SS		2.3.2.2.1.1	34	Are flat monitors acceptable for chin bubble display?
				Answer: All proposed solutions will be considered as long as they meet the requirements of the Specification.
B_M		L.3 & L.9.3.3	91 & 103	Section L.3 requires a 180 day validity. Submission is May 28 with a possible award on Dec 4. That is roughly 190 days between the two dates. Can you clarify if the validity should be longer or the intended award date will be before Dec 4?
				Answer: Offerors will be asked to submit a proposal that is valid for a minimum of 180 days.
SOW & B_M		SOW 3.1	8 & 19	The SOW says optional units to be delivered between 2015 and 2017, but Section B-M says Options may be awarded between Jan-Mar 2018 which is after the delivery requirement of 2017. Please clarify which is correct?
				Answer: SOW and appropriate sections of the solicitation are being amended.
B_M		L.2.1	91	Section L2.1 - It states award in FY 2014 but also states Dec 2014. These span two different Fiscal Years, which is the intent?
				Answer: Target award is 7 JULY 2014 through 4 DEC 2014.
B_M		L.2.2	91 /19	Section L2.2 "If all options are exercised incrementally, the anticipated period of performance may span up to, but shall not exceed 5 years After Receipt of the Order (ARO)." According to the Desired Delivery Schedule, an order may be placed in March 2018, with a 12 month fab and 15 month CLS, will exceed the 5 years stated. Please clarify which period of performance should be used for scheduling?
				Answer: It is the Government's intent to order CLS for each accepted SFTS, assuming the order can be made before month 60 of the contract.
SOW		3.1	8	15 months delivery of the first unit is somewhat ambitious. A delivery between 16-18 months is more realistic. 15 months for production units is adequate.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: Delivery schedule clarification is noted, Updated to reflect 18 Months for the first device, 24 months for the second device. 15 months for all option devices
SOW		3.1	8	Will the options for the additional units be exercised at all at once? If not will they be exercised in pairs to achieve the 2 units per year? Exercising the options in one lot will enable quantity buys.
				Answer: It is the governments intent to order 2 units per year depending on availability of funding.
SOW		3.1	8	Will the government be able to provide adequate staff to support multiple simultaneous testing periods if ordering at a rate higher than 2 per year?
				Answer: Yes.
SOW		3.2	8	Will the technical data (Math model report, ICD, Software Product Specification) for the GFI Flight Model Software and Engine Model Software be available prior to CDR? These documents will be required to ensure a complete design is presented for all systems at PDR.
				Answer: Paragraph 3.2 is correct as written. Any additional data that is available will be provided at the time the Flight Model and Engine model are provided.
SOW		3.2	8	Will the GFI software be provided in source code format?
				Answer: Yes.
SOW		3.2	8	What format will the Databases and Models be provided in (Open Flight or executable)?
				Answer: The GFI software products available as GFI are those that accompany an AVCATT database suite (EPM, OTF, CADRG, TIREM, DRLMS, DTED) as well as intermediate formats (Openflight) . Openflight is available for both CM2 and terrain.
SOW		3.2	8	What version of AVCATT OneSAF will be provided?
				Answer: The most current version will be provided and as stated in SOW paragraph 3.2 additional versions will be provided during contract execution.
SOW		3.3	9	The UH-72A SFTS shall deliver motion cues of a sufficient fidelity level to support UH-72A pilot and co-pilot mission training. This requirement is non-testable as currently written as "sufficient fidelity" is a subjective parameter. Recommend that the Government provide quantitative parameters for the motion cues required to meet mission training tasks.
				Answer: Offerors should propose their solution that will provide for training all tasks to standard with no negative habit transfer. Offeror's test plan should address test procedures for their proposed solution.
SOW		3.6.7.1	15	What is the minimum length of the Operator Training Course?
				Answer: To be proposed by the offeror to meet the requirements of the SOW and Specification.
SOW		3.6.7.1	15	What is the maximum number of students to attend the Operator Training Course?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: Up to fifteen students.
SOW		3.6.7.2	15	What is the minimum length of the Maintenance Training Course?
				Answer: To be proposed by the offeror to meet the requirements of the SOW and Specification.
SOW		3.6.7.2	15	What is the maximum number of students to attend the Maintenance Training Course?
				Answer: Up to 5.
SOW		3.6.7.2	15	What is the skill level of the students attending the Maintenance Training Course?
				Answer: Experienced in aviation simulation maintenance
SOW		3.6.11.2	17	Some items of GFI are slated to be delivered NLT PDR. There is no PDR required by the SOW. When will the GFI be delivered?
				Answer: SOW will be amended to include PDR.
SOW		3.7.3	19	"This effort is NOT based on a frozen GFI software baseline at the time of contract award. ...The process continues until a final Software Baseline is developed, tested, and accepted by the Government in a fielded system and software release." A "fielded system" implies that the software was frozen, tested and accepted at some point. Also, the software must be frozen at some point in order to develop a set of Test Procedures. At what point will the software be frozen?
				Answer: The time that the baseline is frozen will be dependent on the time of contract award, the proposed schedule of the selected Offeror, and the technical approach of the selected Offeror. As stated in SOW paragraph 3.2 multiple GFI versions may be provided.
SOW		3.8	19	"In addition to satisfying all other requirements in this SOW the DD250 shall be signed when the software developed under this effort is integrated into an accepted fielded system." As written this cannot be achieved as the system cannot be accepted without the software. Recommend the following wording: " The DD 250 will be signed upon the system being tested demonstration completion of all requirements contained in this SOW.
				Answer: The DD 250 will be signed upon demonstration that the tested system meets all requirements contained in this SOW to include the integration of all software developed under this effort.
SOW		3.8	19	What are the tasks required of the contractor during the accreditation testing?
				Answer: Operate and maintain the simulator, conduct hot washes, record deficiency reports, publish minutes, provide informal instruction to government testers as required.
SOW		3.8	19	Recommend correction of this sentence as follows: "The Contractor shall follow established Test and Evaluation processes to include the execution of Acceptance Test Procedures."
				Answer: Concur with the recommended change. SOW will be amended.
SOW		3.8	19	What is the duration of the accreditation testing?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: It is the Government’s intent that accreditation testing shall not exceed 4 weeks, to include deficiency report retests. However, the total duration of accreditation testing will also be dependent on the contractor’s deficiency report resolution turn-around time.
SOW		3.1	20	What is the type and length of the training required in this paragraph? How long is this training? How many students will be in each class?
				Answer: There is no paragraph 3.1 on page 20. The Government must assume the question refers to paragraph 3.10.1. The training referred to in paragraph 3.10.1 is individual and crew aviation simulation training.
SOW	A	3.10.2	21	How long is the transition period?
				Answer: Paragraph 3.10.2 discusses an offeror-provided transition plan. The offeror shall propose the transition period.
SOW		1.1	22	Normally systems are tested using contractor developed Acceptance Test Procedures. The trainer is then "accepted" based on completion of the government approved Acceptance Test Procedures (ATP). Then the trainer undergoes Accreditation Testing by the DOS to certify that the training system meets the criteria of the training syllabi or curriculum. It appears that the term Accreditation Testing is being used for Acceptance Testing in this attachment which appears to be contrary to section 3.8. Is it the government's intent to have formal Acceptance Testing IAW the contractor Acceptance Test Procedures (ATP) and then perform Accreditation Testing by the DOS to as separate set of Accrediation Test Procedures? Is the ATP the same as the Test Procedure (DI-NDTI-80603A) referenced in paragraph 3.3.3?
				Answer: The SOW, to include Appendix A will be amended to indicate that there will be an in-plant test conducted by the contractor with emphasis on training tasks to standard with no negative habit transfer. The Government will witness this test and have the right to introduce discrepancy reports. Then there will be a Test Readiness Review. Upon successful completion of the Test Readiness Review, the Contractor may present the SFTS for Government Accreditation testing at the first delivery site. The contractor will develop the accreditation test plan. The Contractor may follow this test plan for their in-plant test if desired. The test plan will emphasize training tasks in addition to any engineering tests the Contractor deems appropriate to demonstrate performance of the system, e.g. operational availability, mean time between failure, latency, lumens, etc..
SOW				If not, have the accreditation test procedures been developed yet? When will the accreditation testing procedures be provided?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: The contractor will develop the accreditation test plan. The Contractor may follow this test plan for their in-plant test if desired. The test plan will emphasize training tasks in addition to any engineering tests the Contractor deems appropriate to demonstrate performance of the system, e.g. operational availability, mean time between failure, latency, lumens, etc..
Specification		1.2	1	Do all of the GFI databases, moving models, OneSAF, and SE CORE meet the specification requirements?
				Answer: As stated in the SOW paragraph 3.2 these products are being provided as GFI. Paragraph 3.2 also states These products shall be integrated into the system design and updated and modified as required IAW the requirements of Specification PRF-PT-00611.
Specification		1.2/2.0	1/4	"The UH-72A SFTS shall deliver motion cues of a sufficient fidelity level to support UH-72A pilot and co-pilot training." This requirement is non-testable as currently written as "sufficient fidelity" is a subjective parameter. Recommend that the Government provide quantitative parameters for the motion cues required to meet mission training tasks.
				Answer: Offerors should propose their solution that will provide for training all tasks to standard with no negative habit transfer. Offeror's test plan should address test procedures for their proposed solution.
Specification		1.2/2.0	4-Jan	"In particular, the UH-72A SFTS shall provide vestibular and proprioceptive motion cueing to support aviator recognition of attitude changes and/or aircraft movement." What are the physiological standards that define "aviator recognition"?
				Answer: There are no particular physiological standards that define aviator recognition. There are multiple approaches to meeting this requirement. It is the Government's intent for offerors to propose and support their solution via studies or other reference material that supports the offeror's particular choice.
Specification		2.3.1.7.1	26	Will the OFP be provided as GFI? If so what format will it be provided in?
				Answer: No, OFP will not be provided as GFI.
Specification		2.3.2.3	32	The delivery time of the GFI is different than that specified in the SOW paragraph 3.2
				Answer: Specification will be amended to match the SOW.
SOW	Att 04	N/A	1	The UH-72A SFTS program requires detailed modeling of the specific aircraft being modeled, to include flight dynamics and aircraft behavior in all emergency situations. Will the planned GFI be of sufficient fidelity to fulfill the requirements?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				<p>Answer: "The engine model and flight control model are from the existing Thales EC145 FTDs. The Thales engine model and flight control simulation has been certified by the JAA for use equivalent to an FAA Level 6 simulation.</p> <p>Please recall, as relates to GFI, that the SFTS SOW states "These products shall be integrated into the system design and updated and modified as required IAW the requirements of Specification PRF-PT-00611." Therefore, it is incumbent upon the selected offeror to integrate and modify the GFI to their proposed solution."</p>
Spec		2.3.1.7.1.1	26	Recommend the Government review the need for this requirement.
				Answer: Requirement has been removed.
Spec		2.2.1.1.1.12	8	The paragraph states that a key lock or combination lock is acceptable, but also states that one key shall open all locks. Recommend clarification of the requirement (one key shall open all key locks, one combination shall open all combination locks...)
				Answer: This recommendation has been incorporated.
Spec		2.2.1.1.1.16.3	10	Recommend rewriting the requirement similar to "Exterior lighting shall provide adequate illumination at night for safe entry and egress through primary crew doors. Exterior lighting shall not run on battery power."
				Answer: This recommendation has been incorporated.
Spec		2.3.3.3 (9)	36	Please provide more definition to "monitor entity scoring parameters"
				Answer: Requirement has been removed.
Spec		2.3.2.2.1.3	29	WRT the VDS FOV, the spec states "The total system field of view shall be, at a minimum, 200 H x 45 V (independent of the additional FOV provided by the chin windows)." What are the Up and Down look angles of the vertical FOV?
				Answer: Please note that the requirements are "minimum". Offerors may propose a solution that they determine meets the requirements of the solicitation requirements.
SOW		3.2	8	In the Question and Comment Matrix posted by PEO STRI 2/14/2014 there were two answers to the question of the flight and engine models being offered as GFI. One stated "...from the AVCATT UH-72A upgrade..." and the other "...from the existing Thales EC145 FTDs...". Could you please clarify if the GFI planned on being provided will be from the Thales EC145 FTD and not the AVCATT UH-72A Upgrade?
				Answer: It is the intent of the Government to provide the Thales EC145 flight/engine model from the Thales EC145 FTD.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
Spec		2.2.5	17	The Spec states that "The physical design and construction of the UH-72A SFTS shall facilitate maintenance access to all major components without requiring repositioning of the system." We assume that this requirement is only valid for the SFTS when it is set up for training. Can you please confirm that it is not also applicable when the system is in its "transit" configuration?
				Answer: Yes, only valid when setup for training.
Spec		2.2.1.1.1.11	8	The Spec states that the front end shall have a max swing radius of 56.1 inches. Typically the HVAC and generator are mounted to the front end for safety reasons (i.e. fuel, exhaust fumes, thermal heat, and noise) and also for maintenance reasons (access). Does this specification intend that the HVAC and generator be mounted inside the trailer?
				Answer: The Government is not specifying where the generator and HVAC must be located. Offerors may propose a solution that will meet the specification requirements.
Spec		2.2.1.1.1.16.3	10	Recommend rewriting the requirement similar to "Exterior lighting shall provide adequate illumination at night for safe entry and egress through primary crew doors. Exterior lighting shall not run on battery power."
				Answer: This recommendation has been incorporated.
Spec		2.3.3.3 (9)	36	Please provide more definition to "monitor entity scoring parameters"
				Answer: Requirement has been removed.
Spec		2.3.2.1.3		Motion Dynamics Simulation - Can an alternate solution such as a seat shaker be proposed to meet this requirement.
				Answer: No. A solution as stated (dynamic motion seat) is required.
SOW		3.2	8	Will an API and ICD be provided as GFI for the Thales flight model with RFP?
				Answer: An ICD will be provided with the GFI software.
Specification		2.3.1.8.2	27	The Spec states that "The UH-72A SFTS shall simulate the operation of the UH-72A aircraft navigational systems. These shall include the Garmin G-430W and the Garmin GTX 330 units..." We have been told that the Garmin 430 is either obsolete or is becoming obsolete and, if true, will no longer be supported. If true, it may be difficult to acquire the units needed for the 8 SFTS production and there will be no support post-delivery. Are there plans for the fleet helos to be upgraded to a newer model and should we base our SFTS avionics design on this newer model?
				Answer: The requirement is as stated.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
	SOW Attachment 01		3.2	<p>“The Government will provide the most current versions of UH-72A Flight [and] Engine Model Software.”</p> <p>Will the Government also provide any of the other simulated aircraft systems software (e.g. Hydraulics, Electrics, Pneumatics, etc.) that interface with these Flight and Engine models? If so, please provide a list of systems software that will be provided.</p> <p>Will this software also be provided in source code format and with documentation?</p>
				<p>Answer: No; other software is not being offered as GFI.</p> <p>Only GFI engine and aero model will be provided with source code and documentation.</p>
L		L.6.2	122	The times in the RFP for the Lakota are listed as EST. Should they be EDT?
				<p>Answer: The Times have been changed from Eastern Standard Time (EST), to local time Orlando, FL in all locations that referred to EST</p>

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
DD-254 Attachment 9; DD-254 Attachment 9a; SOW, 3.5.10; Spec, 2.2.6		N/A; N/A; 3.5.10; 2.2.6		<p>There seems to be a contradiction between these various documents WRT security level of the SFTS:</p> <p>DD-254 Attachment 9 has the following: Facility Clearance Required: Secret Level of Safeguarding Required: None</p> <p>DD-254 Attachment 9a has the following: Facility Clearance Required: Secret Level of Safeguarding Required: Secret</p> <p>In the SOW, para. 3.5.10 Information Assurance (IA) states, "The UH-72A SFTS shall be able to support UNCLASSIFIED operations."</p> <p>In the Spec, 2.2.6 UH-72A SFTS IA states, "The UH-72A SFTS design shall ensure that the system is IAW ... (DIACAP) Mission Assurance Category (MAC) III. The system and design shall meet DIACAP for UNCLASSIFIED systems."</p> <p>Was the intent to be able to support unclassified AND classified operations? To what level should the SFTS be designed WRT security?</p>
				<p>Answer: The requirement for the device is for a unclassified operations and system only. A revised DD254 will be provided at award.</p>
	Attachment 04			<p>For proposal development, can the government provide the GFI items listed in Attachment 04 GFI list</p>
				<p>Answer: No. Government Furnished Information (GFI) for the UH-72A SFTS shall be provided in accordance with Attachment 04 to the solicitation STOCII-14-KOV-0002.</p>
L.7.6 Electronic Offers		2nd paragraph	127	<p>Within the paragraph L.7.6 Electronic Offers it states, "The content and page size of electronic copies must be identical to the hard copies." This statement contradicts the table in L.7 Proposal Volumes. Please confirm no hard copies are required.</p>
				<p>Answer: The following sentence was removed from L.7.6 "The content and page size of electronic copies must be identical to the hard copies."</p>

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
L.9.2	Sections L&M	Justification for Sole Source Subcontract(s)	12	<p>The Government has directed offerors to provide their Justification for Sole Source Subcontract(s). The Government has also provided detailed instructions for each bulleted item in the list on the following pages. The instructions for this requirement would sequentially follow L.9.8.3 "DCAA POC." The detailed instructions appear to have been omitted.</p> <p>--For bidders with Government approved accounting systems, and who have not identified a sole source subcontract requirement(s), is this requirement valid?</p> <p>--If so, will the Government provide detailed instructions pertaining to what an expected response requires?</p>
				<p>Answer: The following parts of section L.9.2 have been removed: Justification for Sole Source Subcontract(s); Documentation Regarding Status of Subcontractor's Accounting System; Progress Payment Provisions; Financial Responsibility Documentation along with any reference to them in the rest of section L.9.</p>
M.7.2 and SOW	Section M and SOW	Sub-Factor 1.1 and SOW Section 3.6.3	Section M, page 26 and SOW, page 18	<p><u>BACKGROUND</u></p> <p>Section M.7.2, Sub-Factor 1.1, page 26: <i>"Additionally, the Government will evaluate the Offeror's plan to meet the required delivery schedule using a GFI flight model that may still be in development or delivered in multiple software drops."</i></p> <p>SOW Section 3.6.3, Configuration Management, Page 18: <i>"This effort is NOT based on a frozen FGI software baseline at the time of contract award. The process shall account for multiple baseline drops to and from the AVCATT and SE Core programs. The process continues until a final Software Baseline is developed, tested, and accepted by the Government in a fielded system and software release."</i></p> <p><u>QUESTION</u></p> <p>While we recognize that the AVCATT SE Core and AVCATT OneSAF baselines will likely have multiple drops, the RFP, Section M is indicating that the Flight Model itself may be delivered in multiple drops. The Q&A; however, indicated that the Flight Model and Engine Deck would be delivered from another existing training program. Can you please confirm if the Flight Model and Engine Deck will have multiple drops as well?</p>
				<p>Answer: Dependent on time of contract award the GFI engine and aero model may be provided in multiple drops. Also note that SOW paragraph 3.1 states "These products shall be integrated into the system design and updated and modified as required IAW the requirements of Specification PRF-PT-00611."</p>

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
PRF	Att 02	2.2.1.1.1.22	13	The Spec states: "The SFTS trailer power interface shall provide 208VAC 3-phase, 60Hz 26A/20A and 115VAC 3-phase, 60Hz 8A/3A power for the installed UH-72A SFTS and its associated components and 208VAC 3-phase, 60Hz, 200A power for the HVAC system." What does 26A/20A mean? This range seems too low for a trainer - please confirm this correct. Also, 200A for just the HVAC seems high - please confirm this is correct.
				Answer: - "Paragraph 2.2.1.1.1.21 and 2.2.1.1.1.22 of PEO STRI – SPEC – PRF-PT-00611 dated 14 Mar 2014 have been updated with the following specifications: 480 volt/60 Hertz or 380 volt/50 Hertz, three phase, four wire, grounded power source. Two circuits are available to power the SFTS system; one for trainer power at 60 amps and one for HVAC power at 100 amps."
PRF	Att 02	1.2 2.3.1	1 24	1.2 states: "The UH-72A SFTS shall ... provide aural cueing via pilot/CP helmets/ headsets to include ... warnings and environment noise and sounds." 2.3.1 states: "Sounds/aural cues associated with each system shall be provided in the appropriate quadrant relative to the each pilot and CP position." We suggest that 'quadrant-relative' sounds cannot be achieved through user-provided helmet/headsets, especially as heads turn. Please confirm that the requirement to provide sound ONLY through helmets/headsets is correct. If so, please confirm that the Contractor must provide the helmets/headsets, and delete the sentence "Sounds/aural cues associated with each system shall be provided in the appropriate quadrant relative to the each pilot and CP position" in section 2.3.1.
				Answer: There is no requirement limiting sounds ONLY to only helmets and headsets.
SOW	Att 01	3.1	8	Will the Government make available a UH-72A helicopter for data gathering by the successful Contractor?
				Answer: Yes, the UH-72A will be made available to the successful offeror, if required.
				IS there any additional GFI available at this time beyond the System Specification at attachment 02 that we can receive?
				Answer: There is no additional Government GFI that is going to be provided until after contract award, other than the power point presentation.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				<p>Reference the PEO STRI website, one of the listings is for the key dates and times. One is listed below. KEY DATES & TIMES: 03 April 2014, 1:00 PM EST* Submission of Request for Government Furnished Information</p> <p>Please confirm if any additional GFI is available at this time?</p>
				<p>Answer: There is no additional government GFI that is going to be provided, other than the power point presentation. The 03 April date is the cut off for requesting the System Specifications or Attachment 02.</p>
L&M			4,5-6,10-11	<p>In reviewing the RFP for the UH-72A SFTS it is unclear how respondents should submit their responses.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section L.6.2 states “Electronic Submission” • Table L-1 states “1 Original” and “Electronic” on different lines. • L.7.1 states “Each volume submitted in hardcopy format shall be submitted in a separate three ring binder.” • L.7.1 states “Each volume, whether a hard copy or electronic (CD) shall contain: ...” • L.7.6 states “Offers shall submit 1 set of the entire proposal (3 volumes) in electronic format...” • L.8.1 states “Offer must submit Electronic copies of their proposals...” • L.8.2 states “Proposals submitted by e-mail will be rejected: hand carry and/or mail hard copy/electronic copies (CDs).” <p>Please confirm the Prime Contract only needs to submit an electronic copy via one CD copy; no printed copies are required.</p>
				<p>Answer: Yes , offers will be required to submit one Electronic (=CD) of the proposal.</p>
L		6.5	122 of 149	<p>This section starts "The Government will not answer proprietary questions." However, the section proceeds to inform the offeror to mark proprietary questions as such. The lead-in sentence seems to contradict the instructions. Will the Government consider questions containing proprietary information? Please clarify.</p>
				<p>Answer: No. The government will not answer any proprietary questions.</p>

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
L		7, 7.1, 7.5.2, 7.6, & 9.6.1	125-127 & 130 of 149	Table L-1 requires only electronic delivery of the proposal volumes. Second sentence on top of page 126 states, "Each volume submitted in hard copy format shall be submitted in a separate three ring binder." Third sentence on top of page 127 states, "These limitations shall apply to both electronic and hard copies of proposals submitted." Second sentence in paragraph 7.6 (page 127) states, "The content and page size of electronic copies must be identical to the hard copies." The last sentence of paragraph 9.6.1 (top of page 130) states, "The 'Original' hard copy shall be clearly marked under separate cover and shall be provided without any punched holes." Please clarify that no hard copies of any proposal volume or attachment are required for the UH-72A SFTS proposal.
				Answer: Correct, No hard copies of any proposal volume or attachment are required.
L		7.1 & 9.5	125-126 & 129	The General Volume Content Requirements paragraph (7.1) states, "Each volume of the proposal shall contain a Table of Contents and Volume Summary. The Volume Summary section shall contain a brief abstract of the volume not to exceed 1 page." The Executive Summary paragraph (9.5) states, "An Executive Summary not to exceed 5 pages shall be provided..." It is our understanding that Volume I will include a five-page Executive Summary in lieu of a one-page Volume Summary. Please clarify that only Volumes II (Technical) and III (Price/Cost) require a one-page Volume Summary and not Volume I (Administrative Information).
				Answer: Correct, Volume I will have a Executive Summary not to exceed 5 pages. Volumes II & III will require a one page volume summary.
L		8.3	127 & all page headers	Please confirm the correct solicitation number: STOCII-14-KOV-0002 or W900KK-14-R-0023?
				Answer: the solicitation Number is STOCII-14-KOV-0002, the W900KK number was generated by PD2 and it is not the solicitation number.
L		9.6.11.3	131 of 149	The Government has stated "Please note that providing less than GPR will make the Offeror non-responsive to Technical Sub-Factor 1.1." This clause seems to prohibit the use of any COTS software. Vendors such as Microsoft and Redhat will not provide full GPR. Please clarify the Government's desired intent.
				Answer: GPR Is not required for licensed COTS software such as Microsoft Windows and Redhat Linux. It is for everything else that is non-COTS.
L		9.8.1 & 9.8.3	131 of 149	Is there duplicate information requests between the two paragraphs regarding Government Offices and DCAA/DCMA POCs? In other words, is the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) information requested in paragraph 9.8.1 the same as the DCMA ACO information requested in 9.8.3?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: Yes it could be the same person unless your company doesn't have an DCMA or DCAA personnel assigned to them.
L		10.2	132 of 149	Is the Government requiring submittal of all subcontractor technical proposals be submitted as part of the prime offeror's Technical Volume? Please clarify Government's intent regarding subcontractor technical proposals (i.e., whether subcontractors must submit sealed, unredacted technical proposals to the Government, whether redacted subcontractor technical proposal must be submitted as part of prime's Technical proposal, or whether no subcontractor technical proposals (redacted or unredacted) need be included in prime contractor's technical proposal).
				Answer: "The Government is not requiring submittal of subcontractor technical proposals. Submission of subcontractor technical proposals is at the discretion of the proposing prime if the prime determines that such submission is desired to support the overall proposal."
L		11.2	134-135 of 149	Besides the one-page Volume Summary and the unaltered Model Delivery Order in Appendix A, there is no body in the Price/Cost volume for a discussion of pricing methodology, basis of estimate, etc. Please confirm that the Government only wants the Price/Cost Volume to contain: Cover & Title Page, Table of Contents, one-page Volume Summary, and Appendix A (Model Delivery Order).
				Answer: Correct, the Government only wants what is listed in Section L.11
L	Attachment_05_UH-72A_Section_L_and_M.pdf	Clauses	135-142 of 149	In the Model Delivery Order, the three clauses inserted between the end of Section L and the beginning of Section M are not found in Attachment 05 (Section L & M). Please clarify which, if any, of these clauses should be included in the UH-72A proposal.
				Answer: Attachment 05 has been removed to correct this issue, use the updated Solicitation.
L		Clause 252.215-7009	135-142 of 149	Based on Section I Clause 52.215-21 "REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA OR INFORMATION OTHER THAN CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA--MODIFICATIONS (OCT 2010)—ALTERNATE IV (OCT 2010)" paragraph (a) stating that "Submission of certified cost or pricing data is not required." and since this is a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) proposal, what is the purpose of the "Proposal Adequacy Checklist (Jan 2014)" in Clause 252.215-7009 in Section L?
				Answer: Clause 252.215-7009 has been removed.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
L		Clause 252.215-7009	135-142 of 149	Does the Government require the referenced clause, Proposal Adequacy Checklist, to be completed by the Offeror and included with the Price Proposal? The volume content outline does not include this checklist. If the Government intends for this clause to be part of this proposal, where should this checklist be included (i.e., Appendix B of the Price/Cost volume). Since this is a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP) proposal, we were under the assumption that a Cost Basis Spreadsheet would not be required with the initial proposal submittal, but that the Total Evaluated Price (TEP) would be extracted from Section B of the Model Contract. Many of the items listed in the checklist would be found on tabs of a spreadsheet or tabs of a subcontractor's proprietary spreadsheet. Please clarify Government's intent and requirement for this proposal in regard to the Proposal Adequacy Checklist.
				Answer: Clause 252.215-7009 has been removed.
M		7.3	147	What is the definition of "Product Definition Data" as used in M 7.3 Sub-Factor 1.2 Government Purpose Rights and Product Definition Data?
				Answer: SOW paragraph 3.2.7 defines Product Definition Data.
SOW	Attachment 01	3.2.8	12	The SOW states "Any licensed COTS software and technical data shall be provided with a transferable license that allows distribution and use for any DoD purpose by any DoD contractor at no additional cost." However, this statement appears to violate the DFARS with respect to Commercial-Off-The-Shelf licensing agreements. Please clarify the intent and implementation of this phrase as it relates to the DFARS clauses governing GPR.
				Answer: COTS licensed software solution are acceptable however it is not acceptable to require an additional license fee in order to transfer that software to the Government or a Government contractor, in other words a license fee shall only be paid once.
SOW		3.5.10	16	The IA requirement states "The contractor shall identify and use IA approved solutions to include IA approved hardware, operating systems, network devices, and software." Most of these solutions are COTS including all of the approved operating systems. Full GPR is typically not be obtainable for these COTS solutions. Please clarify the Government's intent with respect to this IA requirement. In other words, under this procurement, is the Government suggesting that the offeror develop IA solutions (vs IA-approved COTS solutions) that can be provided with full GPR?
				Answer: No, the Government is not suggesting that the offeror develop IA solutions (vs IA-approved COTS solutions) that can be provided with full GPR.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
SOW		3.9	19	The SOW states "Moves shall be accomplished on a cost reimbursable basis." and "For cost estimating purposes, move radii shall be a maximum of 150 miles." Section B includes a Time and Material (T&M) CLIN 0017 (UH-72A SFTS Trailer Movement for TRNG) for "...movement of the training devices within their designate areas of operation for training." Based on the above, will transportation and travel costs for trailer movements be reimbursed under T&M CLIN 0017? If this is a correct interpretation, then please confirm that transportation and travel costs for trailer movements are NOT required to be priced in the ICS optional CLINs (0002, 0004, 0006, 0008, 0010, 0012, 0014, and 0016).
				Answer: Trailer movements are NOT required to be priced in the ICS optional CLINs (0002, 0004, 0006, 0008, 0010, 0012, 0014, and 0016
J	Attach 5		96	Attachment 05 presents Solicitation Sections L & M. These are duplicated within the Solicitation. Is Attachment 05 necessary and if so, which takes precedence should there be a disparity?
				Answer: Attachment 05 has been removed.
F		F.1	18	What is the Government's overall procurement plan (by GFY)?
				Answer: 2 Per option period if options are funded.
B			12	Is the CLIN 0019 Contractor Manpower Reporting required only for the ICS Manpower requirements?
				Answer: Yes
L		L.11	134-142	Is only Section B pricing required by the Government? Attachment 5 and Section L.11 appear to state this. If so, it appears that the Proposal Adequacy Checklist should not be included within the RFP.
				Answer: Clause 252.215-7009 has been removed.
J	Attach 1	SOW 3.9	19	The SOW states "The Contractor shall be responsible for moving the SFTS from Eastern ARNG and Western ARNG Aviation Training Sites (EAATS and WAATS)". It does not address the Contractor's responsibility for moves from the other six Battalion Sites? Is the Contractor responsible for SFTS moves from the Battalion Sites? If not, please clarify the estimated "96 moves per year". Is this to be priced under the respective ICS CLIN (0002, 0004, etc) or CLIN 0017?
				Answer: Yes, the contractor would be required to move the devices from other locations as well. The device movements are going to be from CLIN 0017 only.
B			10, 11	Section B contains three CLIN 0017 SLINs (0017AA, 0017AB, 0017AC) with the same titles and does not distinguish them from one another. Are these SLINs for sequential periods of time or do they support selected sites? Please provide definition.
				Answer: Sequential periods of time, one year.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
L		L.9.6.9.1, L11.6	130, 135	There is conflicting information on the financing methods available to contractors in the solicitation. Section L.9.6.9.1 references the utilization of progress payments for contractor financing, while FAR clause 52.232-28 Invitation to Propose Performance Based Payments is also included. Which financing method will be available?
				Answer: Both types of contract financing could be made available, but if performance based payments are desired they will need to be requested and agreed to after contract award.
B			2-9	The Solicitation does not differentiate payment methods for the delivery of a product vs. a service. Will the Government modify the ICS CLINs in Section B to a Quantity of 12 / Unit of 1 to establish a monthly unit price?
				Answer: Yes, the Gov will change the unit of issue on the award to a quantity of 12 in order to establish monthly unit price.
B			10, 11	Does CLIN 0017 include travel and per diem for the ICS personnel when the trainer is moved to a new location?
				Answer: Yes
B			10, 11	Does the ICS include operating the IOS as an instructor or in support of an instructor?
				Answer: Yes, both operating the IOS as an instructor or in support of an instructor.
J	Attach 1	SOW 3.9	19	SOW 3.9.1, what is the anticipated training schedule during a week that the trainer does not move?
				Answer: Paragraph 3.9.1 states "The UH-72A SFTS shall be able to achieve a 90% Operational Availability (Ao) rate for an expected yearly scheduled mission time of 2112 hrs (i.e., forty-four (44) hrs per week, forty-eight (48) weeks per year). "
B			10, 11	CLIN 0017 - 0017AC, Please confirm that no pricing is required to be provided for these CLINs with the proposal submittal.
				Answer: Correct, no pricing is required to be provided for these CLINs with the proposal submittal.
J	Attach 2	SPEC 2.3.1.8.1	26	The malfunctions in the SPEC show 6 COMM Transceivers. Is this correct? What is the number and type of the transceivers?
				Answer: The malfunctions list will be reduced to 5 receiver and 5 transmitter. Dual Garmin 430W; ARC 231; Dual RT-5000 operated via single C-5000.
J	Attach 2	SPEC 2.3.1.8.2	27	How many NAV receivers are there and what type?
				Answer: Dual Garmin 430W
J	Attach 1	SOW 3.9	19	Will the Government at each site provide the diesel fuel to run the generator when site power is not available?
				Answer: Yes
L		L.7	125	Please confirm that hard copies of the proposal are not required and that only a CD electronic submittal of each Volume is required.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: Yes, hard copies of the proposal are not required. Only a CD electronic submittal of each Volume is required
L		L.9.2	129	Financial Responsibility Documentation - please clarify the documentation that is required for this Section?
				Answer: Financial responsibility is no longer required, See amendment 01 section 9.2.
L		L.9.6.7	130	Section G. The clause referenced in this Section is located in Section F of the RFP. Should this info be presented in Section L.9.6.6?
				Answer: Moved PEO STRI clause 5152.201-5002 to Section G
L		L.9.6.11.3	131	Section K. The DFARS 252.227-7017 is located within RFP Section I. Should the Model Delivery Order present this Clause within Section I or K?
				Answer: Moved DFARS 252.227-7017 to Section K
H			34	Under PEO STRI 5152.228.5001, Liability Insurance, (d) Aircraft public and passenger liability is required. Please confirm that given the nature of this program, this insurance is required to be maintained.
				Answer: No, updated the Clause to reflect the required levels.
L		9.6.11.3	131	Section L paragraph 9.6.11.3 instructs the Offeror to complete and sign DFARS 252.227-7017 Identification and Assertion of Use, Release, or Disclosure Restrictions which provides a definitive listing of all specific software and technical data the Offeror intends to provide with less than unlimited rights to the U.S. Government. Is it the Government's intent for the Offeror to list all commercial and non-commercial technical data and software? If so, please explain the last statement in paragraph L.9.6.11.3 "Please note that providing less than GPR will make the Offeror non-responsive to Technical Sub-Factor 1.1"
				Answer: Yes list all commercial and non-commercial technical data and software. No the Government does not require GPR for commercial items
L		9.6.11.3	131	Section L paragraph 9.6.11.3 instructs the Offeror to complete and sign DFARS 252.227-7017 Identification and Assertion of Use, Release, or Disclosure Restrictions which provides a definitive listing of all specific software and technical data the Offeror intends to provide with less than unlimited rights to the U.S. Government. Is it the Government's intent for the Offeror to list all commercial and non-commercial technical data and software? If so does the Government want GPR for commercial items?
				Answer: Yes list all commercial and non-commercial technical data and software. No the Government does not require GPR for commercial items

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
SOW, Exhibits A, B, and C		Box 6 in the Contract Data Requirements List forms	A-1 to 3 B-1 to 9 C-1,2,3, 5-9	The SOW paragraphs referenced in all of the exhibits don't match where the documents are referenced in the SOW. Which takes precedence?
				Answer: Exhibits have been updated with the correct references, see Exhibits A, B, & C
Attachment 4 - GFI list		5 and 6	1	The government has already stated that they would not provide the ICD during the proposal phase for the GFI flight and engine models. Will the government provide processor loading requirements for the GFI Flight and Engine models, i.e., (does it require its own dedicated processor?)
				Answer: Whether the software requires its own dedicated processor or not is dependent on the Offeror's solution.
Attachment 2 - SPEC		Appendix A: UH-72A Training Tasks	47	Does the instructor have to be in position to observe both the pilot and co-pilot during Task 1190 Perform Hand and Arm Signals?
				Answer: No
Attachment 1 - SOW		3.9	19	Is the contractor to provide instructors to conduct pilot and CP training?
				Answer: No
Attachment 1 - SOW		3.9.1	19	Is the simulator itself expected to be in constant use for the 8/14 hours a day? Will this require multiple overlapping training events, i.e., (one preflight briefing, one in the simulator, one conducting AAR) at any given moment.
				Answer: No
CLINs		CLIN 17AA, AB, AC	10-11	All three CLINs state the same scope: "Movement of SFTS trailers for 12 months". Do these represent different CONUS areas, different years, different amounts of trailers, etc...? Can you please clarify the difference between these individual CLINs.
				Answer: Each Sub CLIN represents a 12 Month period for all devices delivered.
Attachment 1 - SOW & Q/A		3.9	19 & 6	The SOW states: "The Contractor shall deliver onsite training at each satellite location". However, the Q&A issued by PEO STRI provides an answer that states: "Formal operator & maintainer training will not be required at relocation sites." Is the training only required at each of the (potentially) 8 initial site deliveries, at only the initial #1 device site, or each and every location for each and every device? Can you please clarify which locations will require training?

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
				Answer: "Formal" training is not required at each satellite location, however, the ICS operator, maintainer, or operator maintainer would be expected to provide hands on assistance as required by the crew in training or the training instructor.
AVCATT_UH72 A_SW_PDR 27 Mar 14		Slides 162 and 179		According to the Power Point of the AVCATT UH-72A Upgrade PDR, Thales is also providing at least some part of the OFP. Presumably that was provided with full GPR. Does that mean that at least part of the OFP will be provided as GFI for the SFTS?
				Answer: No.
Attach 4			1	Does the GFI Flight Model have a resident IOS?
				Answer: No.
Attach 4			1	Will the flight test data and/or the flight reference data report be provided with the GFI flight and engine model?
				Answer: No
Attachment 02 System Spec		2	36	Please clarify what is meant by replicated visual scene view
				Answer: Replication of the visual scene as viewed by the pilot/co-pilot.
PEO STRI – SPEC – PRF-PT- 00611	Att 02, PRF	2.2.14.1 Network Interfaces	23	The paragraph states "Any required modifications to the Gateway software shall be IAW SE Core standards and processes." Q: What documentation exists on these SE Core standards and processes?
				Answer: The SE Core VDIS Specification, the SE Core Master Entity List, and the SE Core Configuration Management Process.
PEO STRI – SPEC – PRF-PT- 00611	Att 02, PRF	2.2.14.1 Network Interfaces	23	The paragraph states "Any required modifications to the Gateway software shall be IAW SE Core standards and processes." Q: Please provide this SE Core standards and processes documentation.
				Answer: Will be provided to the selected Offeror at award.
	Att 02, PRF	2.3.2.3 Synthetic Environment Simulation, 3rd para.	32	The paragraph states "The AVCATT OneSAF shall be upgraded as required to provide operational interaction and synthetic environment as well as communication representation of the UH-72A aircraft. " Q: Please clarify "communication representation". Does this include MM to SAF communications for coordinated collective aviation behaviors?
				Answer: Yes.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
	Att 02, PRF	2.3.2.3 Synthetic Environment Simulation, 3rd para.	32	The paragraph states "The AVCATT OneSAF shall be updated as required to add and modify the behaviors for all UH-72A aircraft configurations for all training tasks in all operational modes." Q: is correct to assume this is to modify UH-72A SAF behaviors to collectively interact with the MM? We would have expected collective behaviors to integrate with other entities beyond UH-72A. Is this limited to collective behaviors of multiple UH-72A SAF entities integrating with or without manned modules?
				Answer: This requires modifications required for all training tasks.
	Att 02, PRF	2.3.2.3 Synthetic Environment Simulation, 3rd para.	32	The paragraph states "All new development and modification of existing OneSAF, VDIS, SE Core Gateway, and CM2 models shall maintain conformance to the latest SE Core and AVCATT OneSAF design, integration, test, documentation and configuration management standards." Q: Do the SE Core CM2 models include the UH-72A in the proper configuration (articulated boom and hoist)?
				Answer: Yes.
	Att 02, PRF	2.3.2.3 Synthetic Environment Simulation, 3rd para.	32	The paragraph states "All new development and modification of existing OneSAF, VDIS, SE Core Gateway, and CM2 models shall maintain conformance to the latest SE Core and AVCATT OneSAF design, integration, test, documentation and configuration management standards." Q: Who develops (costs) the new CM2 Models Planned For Development For the UH-72A AVCATT Upgrade?
				Answer: The models are provided as GFI. Any costs associated with integration and test are the responsibility of the SFTS contractor.
	Att 02, PRF	APPENDIX A: UH-72A TRAINING TASKS	41	Q: Will the customer provide the tasks, conditions, standards and performance measures for all crew and mission tasks cited in APPENDIX A: UH-72A TRAINING TASKS (TC 3-04.21, TC 1-272)?
				Answer: Tasks, conditions and standards are provided in TC 3-04.21
	Att 02, PRF	APPENDIX A: UH-72A TRAINING TASKS	41	Q: Please provide the tasks, conditions, standards and performance measures for all crew and mission tasks cited in APPENDIX A: UH-72A TRAINING TASKS (TC 3-04.21, TC 1-272).
				Answer: The Government is extending the closing date until 28 Apr 2014.
	Att 02, PRF	2.3.2.3 Synthetic Environment Simulation, 3rd para.	32	The paragraph states "All new development and modification of existing OneSAF, VDIS, SE Core Gateway, and CM2 models shall maintain conformance to the latest SE Core and AVCATT OneSAF design, integration, test, documentation and configuration management standards." Q: Do we have the option of having the SE Core program develop the CM2 models for the UH-72A program, since SE Core would be best positioned to ensure the models are in compliance with their standards?
				Answer: Yes.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
	Att 05, Sect. L & M	L.3	1	In view of the complexities addressed above, will the Gov't consider delaying the Solicitation Closing date from 21 April 2014?
				Answer: The new proposal due date is 28 April 2014.
J	Attach 2 - SPEC	Specification Paragraphs 2.2.1.1.1.21 and 2.2.1.1.1.22	13	<p>Specification Paragraph 2.2.1.1.1.21 states that the power available at sites will be: One Three Phase, 480V, 60Hz, 60A (Trainer) and One Three Phase, 480V, 60Hz, 100A (HVAC) -Or- One Three Phase, 380V, 50Hz, 60A (Trainer) and One Three Phase 380V, 50Hz, 100A (HVAC)</p> <p>Paragraph 2.2.1.1.1.22 Electrical Distribution Requirements states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fixed Site Power: For fixed training sites, a standard electrical connector shall be provided to accept commercial three-phase power. This connector shall include ground, neutral, and three-phase connections. • SFTS Trailer Power: The SFTS trailer power interface shall provide 208VAC 3-phase, 60Hz 26A/20A and 115VAC 3-phase, 60Hz 8A/3A power for the installed UH-72A SFTS and its associated components and 208VAC 3-phase, 60Hz, 200A power for the HVAC system. • U.S. Commercial Power: The SFTS trailer shall provide a standard electrical interface to accept commercial three-phase power. <p>1- Can you verify P2.2.1.1.1.21 specifies the correct available power at all sites with power. 2- Is the intention of P2.2.1.1.1.22 to specify limits for the trainer that are different than what is available on-site or to specify the power in the trailer after conversion from the site power of P2.2.1.1.1.21? 3- Can you clarify the meaning “26A/20A” in the specification: “208VAC 26A/20A”, generally only one current limit is specified (in Amps per phase) 4- Can you clarify the “115VAC 3 phase 60Hz” (Three phases of 115VAC is generally referred to as 208VAC). a. Is this an addition separate circuit to the 208VAC 26A/20A requirement? 5- As in (3) above, can you clarify the meaning “8A/3A” in the specification. 6- Is the requirement for “U.S. Commercial Power” an additional power source than that specified in P2.2.1.1.1.21?</p>
				Answer: The Electrical Distribution Requirements paragraph is being removed from the specification.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
J	Attach 2 - SPEC	Specification Paragraph 2.2.1.1.1.11	8	<p>2.2.1.1.1.11 Front End states:</p> <p>The SFTS trailer front end shall have beveled or rounded corners such that a minimum of interior cubic capacity is sacrificed. The front end shall conform to the swing radius requirement of 142.24 cm (56 in) maximum and shall be IAW DOT bulkhead requirements.</p> <p>Can the swing radius requirement be expanded to 74" from 56" to accommodate alternative generator locations?</p>
				Answer: 74" is acceptable.
F		F and H.10	20 and 30	<p>While 52.211-9 presents the Desired Delivery Schedule, which includes an assumed exercise of Option window, Section H.10 states that any or all options may be exercised "at any time after award of the DO". Please further define the end of the Option Exercise window for each Option CLIN.</p>
				Answer: Option Exercise window is for planning purposes so that the contractor can come up with an accurate cost. This is also the time frame that the government intends to exercises the options, subject to the availability of funds.
L		L.11.6	141	<p>This section states "No Price/Cost information shall be included in any volume other than the Price Volume and the Model Delivery Order in the Administrative Information - Volume I". Instructions for the Admin Volume in Section L.9.6.2 indicated the Section B should be left blank. Please clarify whether Pricing should only be located in the Price Volume.</p>
				Answer: Yes The pricing should only be in the price volume.
RFP Section F		REQUIRED DELIVERY SCHEDULE TABLE	21	<p>The REQUIRED DELIVERY SCHEDULE Item Numbers do not match the OFFEROR'S PROPOSED DELIVERY SCHEDULE Item Numbers. REQUIRED DELIVERY SCHEDULE includes Item No. 12; OFFEROR'S PROPOSED DELIVERY SCHEDULE includes Item No. 15. Please clarify.</p>
				Answer: The Required Delivery Schedule Item Numbers Have been adjusted to 5,7,9,11,13,15
RFP Section L	Atch 05, Sections L and M		RFP pages 135 - 142; Atch 05, page 21	<p>RFP document Section L includes FAR Clauses (pages 135 - 142), which are not included in Attachment 05, page 21. Which document should the contractor use to prepare their response?</p>
				Answer: Attachment 05 has been removed and no longer applies

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
CDRL B0006, SOW paragraph 3.2.5.1 Site Survey				Reference SOW paragraph 3.2.5.1 Site Survey and CDRL B0006 – TFR Block 12: These references indicate that a site visit and a separate Trainer Facilities Report (TFR) will be required for each of the eight (8) listed Home Station Fielding Locations. Are each of these site visits and TFRs required under CLIN 0001? Answer: No, Site surveys and TFRs will be associated with the appropriate CLINs for SFTS options.
SOW	Block 5			Block 5 SOW references appear to be based on the January 14, 2014 version of the SOW. As a result, all Block 5 references appear to be incorrect (off by one number). For example, January 14, Section 3.2 was System Design; in the March 14 version, Section 3.1 is System Design. This change caused a ripple effect throughout all Block 5 SOW references in Exhibits A, B, and C. Please clarify. Answer: No, Site surveys and TFRs will be associated with the appropriate CLINs for SFTS options.
RFP	Section I		45	Section I, page 45 states in 52.244-2 Subcontracts (Oct 2010): “Subcontract means any contract, as defined in FAR Subpart 2.1, entered into by a subcontractor to furnish supplies or services for performance of the prime contract or a subcontract. It includes, but is not limited to, purchase orders, and changes and modifications to purchase orders.” Is it the government’s intention for all vendors from which we would purchase parts or sub-system pieces from to be treated as subcontractors? Answer: Clause removed
RFP	Section I		44	Page 44 of the solicitation; Section I clauses, clause 52.215-21 Requirements for Certified Cost or Pricing Data... Alt. IV (Oct. 2010). This clause states: (a) submission of certified cost or pricing data is not required. (b) Provide data described below: BLANK. Please confirm that Certified Cost or Pricing Data is <u>not</u> required. Answer: Clause removed
RFP	Section L		141	Page 141 of the solicitation; Section L.11.6, 252.215-7009 Proposal Adequacy Checklist (JAN 2014). This clause states that “as prescribed in 215.408(5), use the following provision:”. When 215.408(5) is researched, it states “When the solicitation requires the submission of certified cost or pricing data, the contracting officer should include 252.215-7009, Proposal Adequacy Checklist, in the solicitation to facilitate submission of a thorough, accurate, and complete proposal.” If Certified Cost or Pricing Data is <u>not</u> required, pursuant to 52.215-21, then the Proposal Adequacy Checklist is <u>not</u> required. Please confirm. Answer: Proposal Adequacy Checklist removed.

RFP Section	If Section J, list Attach or Exhibit	Paragraph	Page	Question or Comment
RFP	Section F		20	Given the changes in delivery schedule for the 1st two deliveries to 18 and 24 months, is the delivery schedule on page 20 for option devices still accurate?
				Answer: The delivery schedule page 20 is still accurate.
J	Attachment_04_UH-72A_GFP-GFI_list & AVCATT_UH-72A_SW_PDR_27 Mar_14	n/a	n/a	For the purposes of acquiring a best value UH-72A SFTS solution, in light of the AVCATT PDR data provided as GFI, will the Government provide the AVCATT software to the awardee as GFI for use on the UH-72A SFTS? Is it acceptable for an offeror to propose utilizing the GFI AVCATT baseline or would that approach be considered non-compliant?
				Answer: No the AVCATT software is not offered as GFI. L.10.8 Binding Agreements "The Offeror shall provide separate signed and dated binding agreement(s) that clearly identify the software and/or technical data being used and affirmation from the rights owner that it is providing the Government with GPR."
L & M		L.10.6.1 & M.7.2	133 & 146 of 149	When referencing the training tasks, please clarify if these tasks are confined to the pilot/copilot "in-cockpit" tasks or if the training tasks also include external and/or academic training tasks (i.e., performance planning card, preflight inspection, After-Action Review, etc.).
				Answer: The SFTS solution does not include external and/or academic training tasks.
SPEC		2.1 & Appendix A	4, 41-43	This paragraph states "The UH-72A SFTS shall support training for the UH-72A helicopter pilot and CP individual and crew tasks listed in Appendix A." Some of these tasks (Task 1000-participate in crew mission briefing, Task 1020-Prepare Aircraft for mission, and 1022-Perform Pre-flight inspection as examples) include activities external to the cockpit. Can the offeror assume that those tasks or task elements performed by the pilot and/or co-pilot in the cockpit using aircraft systems are the only tasks necessary for the SFTS to support?
				Answer: Yes.
SPEC		2.2.12.3.3	22	This paragraph states "The UH-72A SFTS shall be provided with a fire detection and extinguishing system that includes heat and smoke sensors (which shall be installed on all equipment)..." Is it the Government's intent that a fire suppressant system in addition to fire extinguishers be provided?
				Answer: No.
SPEC		Appendix A	41-43	Is it the Government's intention that all of the ATM tasks in Appendix A of the Performance Specification will be simulated in the SFTS?
				Answer: Yes.