

QUESTION # 1

Section # SPEC 3.2.1.1

Does the tablet specified in Specification paragraph 3.2.1.1.1 have any operational use while the student is training at the workstation, or is it only for use when taken to the hanger?

ANSWER:

Specification 3.2.1.1

There is no reason why it could not be used at the workstation while the student is training.

QUESTION # 2

Section # H.9 of the RFP Pages # 27&28

Comment:

The solicitation clearly establishes that the resultant contract will be issued as a Delivery Order under the STOC II ID/IQ and that all the clauses applicable to STOC II are applicable to the UH-72A VMT procurement. The STOC II ID/IQ contains FAR 52.222.41 'Service Contract Labor Standards.' In addition, Paragraph H.9 of the solicitation indicates that the Service Contract Act ("SCA") is applicable to this Delivery Order and states that Wage Determinations are attached (none were provided).

Because this effort has been defined by the Government to be a Delivery Order issued under the STOC II ID/IQ for a product (the Virtual Maintenance Trainer) with incidental services, versus a Task Order for services with incidental products, it is clear that the Service Contract Act does not apply.

Further, the incidental services that will be performed under the contract will be performed by Professional Employees as that term is defined by 29 CFR 541.300. Per FAR 52.222-41 Service Contract Labor Standards, Professional Employees are exempt from the SCA.

Question:

Please confirm that the Service Contract Act does not apply to this procurement.

ANSWER:

H.9 has been removed.

QUESTION #3

Section # L.9.8 Pages #57&58

Comment:

Paragraph L.9.8 directs offerors to "provide separate signed and dated binding agreement(s) that clearly identify the software and/or technical data being used and affirmation from the rights owner that it is providing the Government with GPR."

Question: Considering that DFAR 252.227-7013 and -7014 are included in the RFP and require the Offeror to identify and assert restrictions on the Government's use, release, or disclosure of Technical Data (252.227-7013(e)(3)) or Computer Software (252.227-7014(e)(3)) in its proposal submission, is an additional 'binding agreement' necessary?

ANSWER:

252.227-7013 and 7014 should have been added as full text rather than a reference. It will be added as full text in Section K.

QUESTION #4

Section # Section B Page # 4

Section # SOW Para. 3.6 Page # 13

Section # SOW Para. 3.1.3 Page # 20

Comment:CLIN 0006 Spares on page 4 of Section B is a FFP CLIN to furnish required spares IAW SOW paragraph 3.4 (should be 3.6). SOW paragraph 3.6 requires the contractor to "...identify support resource requirements including required spares and support equipment for the UH-72A VMT." Further, SOW paragraph 3.13 states: "Upon Government request, the contractor shall provide concurrency, obsolescence, and support requirements that may include software upgrades, training, logistics functions, hardware and software, engineering functions, software licensing, support services, spare parts, maintenance, supply, transportation of equipment, development, productions, and installation of software."CLINs for spares are normally not-to-exceed with a Government provided plug number or not-separately-priced.

Question:Is the contractor required to provide a FFP price in its proposal for CLIN 0006? If the response is Yes, please provide the parameters that can be priced.

ANSWER:

After further consideration of the requirement this CLIN will be "deleted" from the solicitation, it will be titled "This CLIN is not in use"

QUESTION #5

Section # SOW 3.3.5.2 Page # 11

Comment: SOW 3.3.5.2 states "At contract award the Government will provide the contractor up to four work-weeks of aircraft and maintenance personnel availability on-site at the Western Army National Guard Aviation Training Site (WAATS). "

Questions:

1. Can the four work weeks be divided into separate one or two week efforts?
2. Will Contractors be able to schedule at mutually agreeable times with the on-site personnel for this access, or will the dates be set by the government?
3. When is the earliest date after contract award this access can begin?

ANSWER:

1. Government prefers a single four week period.
2. The Government will coordinate this effort.
3. Dates will be determined at post-award conference.

QUESTION #6

Section # L.7 RFP Page # 52

Comment:

L.7 indicates that the page count for the Technical Volume shall not exceed 50 pages total.

Question:

To aid in the government's review, is it acceptable to provide a cross reference matrix

mapping the SOW and Specification Requirements to the Contractor's Technical

Volume as an Appendix to Volume 1, not counted in the 50 pages?

ANSWER:

No

QUESTION #7

Section # Section L RFP Page # 61

Comment:

Solicitation Section L, paragraph L.11.6.2 states “The Offeror is responsible to ensure proposed amounts in Section B are consistent with the pricing information provided in the Cost/Price volume (specifically, the TEP Matrix).” The TEP Matrix was not provided with the solicitation

Question:

Is a TEP Matrix required? If so, can a template be provided?

ANSWER:

“...(specifically, the TEP Matrix).” has been removed, therefore not required.

QUESTION #8

Section L.9.6.1, para. 5 RFP Page # 56

Section M.7.2, para. 6 RFP Page # 67

Spec para. 3.2.1.1, Page 5

Spec para. 3.2.1.1.2, Page 6

Spec para. 3.2.1.2.1, Page 7

Comment:
Solicitation Section L, paragraph L.9.6.1 states “The Offeror shall diagram its concept for the VMT laboratory workstation to include how its components would be used to interact with 3D virtual simulation.”

Section M.7.2 then states “The Government will evaluate the concept diagrams for the VMT laboratory workstation to include how its components would be used to interact with 3D virtual simulation.”

Questions:

1. Is the VMT laboratory workstation the same as the VMT student workstation specified in PRF-PT-00634 paragraph 3.2.1.1 and 3.2.1.1.2? If not, please clarify what the VMT laboratory workstation is.
2. The phrase “include how its components would be used to interact with 3D virtual simulation” is not clear to us. Is this referring to the workspace interface requirements, PRF-PT-00634 paragraph 3.2.1.2.1? If not, please clarify what information is desired by the Government.

ANSWER:

1. Yes.

The term “components” refers to all of the interface elements that allow a user to interact with the 3D display part of the workspace simulation.

QUESTION #9

Section # Section B Page # 5, CLIN 0008

Comment: CLIN 0008 on page 5 of Section B is a FFP CLIN for Manpower Reporting.

The Government's answer to Question 38 referencing Manpower Reporting was that it should be NSP.

Question: Please confirm that the contractor is not required to provide a price in its proposal for CLIN 0008.

ANSWER:

CLIN 0008 will be NSP

QUESTION #10

Section # Section B RFP Page # 5

Comment CLIN 0007 on page 5 of Section B is a FFP CLIN for CDRLS.

However, the description of CLIN 0007 states "the items procured under this CLIN are not separately priced (NSP)..."

Question:

Please confirm that CLIN 0007 CDRLS are NSP.

ANSWER:

CLIN 0007 CDRLS need to NSP

QUESTION #11

Section # L.11.6 RFP Page # 61

Section # L.11.6.4 RFP Page # 61

Section # L.11.6.5 RFP Page # 61

Section # L.11.6.6.1 RFP Page # 62 Comment:

Paragraph L.11.6, Model Delivery Order/Representations and Certifications states "The Offeror shall provide unaltered Model Delivery Order completion documents to include Contractor information required by clauses."

Question A:

Paragraph L.11.6.4, Section D – Packaging and Marking asks Offerors to "identify any items that require special packaging/marketing." Where in Section D do we provide this information if the Model Delivery Order is not to be altered?

Question B:

Paragraph L.11.6.5, Section E – Inspection and Acceptance asks Offerors to document their standard(s) for quality at FAR 52.246-11. This FAR Clause includes a chart. However, the chart has not been included in Section E, only the FAR reference. Where in Section E do we provide this information if the Model Delivery Order is not to be altered?

Question C:

Paragraph L.11.6.6.1, Section F - requires Offerors to complete the delivery dates/period of performance found in Section F and ensure these correlate with the IMS provided in the Technical Volume. However, Section F already contains delivery dates which were provided by the Government. Are we to enter actual calendar dates here, as opposed to days after award?

ANSWER:

Section D – language adjusted for contractor to insert information

Section E- clause was changed to reflect full text.

Section F. clause 52.211-9 changed to reference full text which will give you space to enter information.

QUESTION #12

Section # __3.2.2.1.2____ Page # __22____ In this section does the term LMS refer to a repository of preprogrammed scenarios that can be selected?

Or does the term reference to Blackboard LMS provided by the Government?

ANSWER:

The VMT LMS should include a repository of preprogrammed scenarios as indicated in spec paragraph 3.2.2.1.2. This would be part of the VMT training capability as described in spec paragraph 3.2.3.1.

QUESTION #13

Will the government publish the available budget or government estimate for the program?

ANSWER:

The Government estimate is \$5.4M to include Option CLINs.

QUESTION #14

SPEC Section # 1 Page # ____1____

SPEC Section # __3.2.1.3____ Page # __21____ In Section 1 (Scope) paragraph 3, it is suggested that performance data produced during the use of the VMT should be compliant with a SCORM LMS. Presumably, this means the data would have to somehow be uploaded to Blackboard LMS. Also, in SPEC Section 3.2.1.3 last paragraph, it seems as though ALL of the MTT-APPs must also produce SCORM compliant performance data to be sent to the LMS.

These things seem to contradict Q&A answers #2, #19, and #21. These answers suggest that only the web based MTT-APP must relay data/scores to the SCORM compliant Blackboard LMS. Could you please clarify the following:

1. Is the VMT collected data required to be uploaded to the (Blackboard) LMS?

Should the SPEC Section 3.2.1.3 be amended to reflect the Q&A answers referenced above?

ANSWER:

1. Yes per spec paragraph 3.1.
2. No.

QUESTION #15

SPEC Section # __3.1.2____ Page # __4____ Based on the 3.1.2, 5th bullet – will the MOC and FIP list be provided?

ANSWER:

No; these are implied by a given maintenance task.

QUESTION #16

Section # _SOW_____ PAGE # 23 APPX A, PARA 1.1_____

It is not stated where the testing after the Contractor In-Plant testing will occur. Request identification if Accreditation Testing will occur in-plant, or on-site.

ANSWER:

Appendix A of the SOW states that "Acceptance/Accreditation testing will only occur with the delivery of the VMT." The delivery site is specified in the RFP.

QUESTION #17

Section # SPEC 3.2.1.1.1

Does the removable tablet have any required capabilities beyond those generally provided natively by common tablet computers (iPad, Android, etc.), with built-in or existing COTS apps?

ANSWER:

No.

QUESTION #18

Section # SOW 3.3, SPEC 3.2.1.1

The solution we are working toward, in order to meet the spec, may require some modification to COTS equipment. Will modified COTS be acceptable?

ANSWER:

Yes, as long as full GPR is provided. o external apps will interact with the Army Blackboard.

QUESTION #19

Section #: SPEC 3.2.1.1

For proposal purposes, can the government provide pictures or diagrams of the training room that would help us understand the configuration (for mounting access points, materials, etc.)?

ANSWER:

No. For proposal purposes spec paragraph 3.2.1.1 provides sufficient information.

QUESTION #20

Section #: SPEC 3.2.1.2.2.2

Please provide the referenced document "UH-72A VMT 15001 Fidelity Audit 140701.xlsx", as the .pdf document only appears to have an icon graphic.

ANSWER:

Government has provided Fidelity Audit

QUESTION #21

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.1.1

Can all the student stations be connected to the VMT network using wireless technology?

ANSWER:

Per spec paragraph 3.2.1.1, The VMT workstations shall be interfaced through a dedicated local area network (LAN).

QUESTION #22

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.1.1

We understand that the contract must supply a UPS for each workstation.

Is it the responsibility of the contractor or the government to have 115vac power supplied to each workstation UPS?

ANSWER:

The Government.

QUESTION #23

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.2.1.2

SPEC 3.2.1.2.1.2 states, "The default display within the VMT 3D virtual workspace shall be an overhead 2D view of the UH-72A aircraft within a hangar." The specified default view is within a hangar. Is the simulated hangar the only environment required?

ANSWER:

Yes.

QUESTION #24

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.2.1.5.1

SPEC 3.2.1.2.1.5.1 states in part, "Also, such [avatar] messages may include mini lectures or information about key points throughout the completion of a scenario." Can the Government please expand upon the nature and scope of the mini lectures and keypoint messages (for example, do the mini lectures require practice exercises)?

ANSWER:

No.

QUESTION #25

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.2.1.7

SPEC 3.2.1.2.1.7 states in part, "A 3D 'aircraft component locator' shall be integrated as part of the VMT. This 3D locator shall be designed to provide a student user with a simple means to locate specific aircraft components..." Is the purpose of this locator just to show the student the location of selected components, or is it also required to reposition the student's viewpoint in the 50" 3D workspace on demand?

ANSWER:

Per spec paragraph 3.2.1.2.1.7, it is to provide a student user with a simple means to locate specific aircraft components.

QUESTION #26

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.2.1.8

SPEC 3.2.1.2.1.8 states in part, "This simulated technician shall be available to assist a student during completion of standard UH-72A maintenance tasks." Would the term "assist" here include messages to prompt the student as to what should be completed next (if not, please expand)?

ANSWER:

Yes.

QUESTION #27

Section #: Specification Paragraph 3.2.1.2.2.7.2

SPEC 3.2.1.2.2.7.2 states in part, "When performing a maintenance task involving POL removal, the POL tool menu shall be accessed. From this menu, the POL removal tool appropriate for the maintenance step shall be selected and assigned to either Tool A or B within the virtual workspace." Is the intent to treat the POL tool differently than other tools, in that it only goes to Tool A or B, rather than to the tool kit as other tools do (the citation is for the removal tool, but the question also applies to the application tool, referenced in SPEC 3.2.1.2.2.7.3.)?

ANSWER:

No. It is not the intent to treat the POL tool differently than other tools.

QUESTION #28

Section # Specification Paragraph 3.2.2.1.2

SPEC 3.2.2.1.2 states in part, ontract. shall simulate faults that require the replacement of one or more LRUs to correct the symptoms, as dictated by the tasks identified in the Appendix A.erm How many faults are required be supported per normal procedure (e.g., every possible failure item in the Fidelity Audit spreadsheet, or one representative failure item per failure symptom)?

1. Can the Government provide a list of required faults?
2. Are the combinational/cascading effects of multiple faults required? If so, how many faults are required to be active at one time?

ANSWER:

1. See the file "UH-72A VMT TTIL 140701LCK.xlsx" which is a spec attachment.
2. See the file "UH-72A VMT TTIL 140701LCK.xlsx" which is a spec attachment.
3. No.

QUESTION #29

Section # Specification paragraph 3.3.3.5

Paragraph 3.3.3.5 of the SPEC states that hard copy output of information necessary to support the operation, maintenance, and testing of the VMT shall be supported. Can the government provide what they want for a printer (i.e. 11x17, color, laser, ink-jet, paper capacity, etc.)?

ANSWER:

This is left to the Contractor's discretion.

QUESTION #30

Section # Specification Paragraph 4.3.2.2

SPEC 4.3.2.2 states in part, "System software shall be initialized in accordance with the detailed step-by-step procedures provided by the VMT maintenance manual 'cold start procedures'. The initialization process shall only involve deliverable hardware, software, and documentation."

There is no programming support environment computer specified.

1. Where is the software expected to be built for cold start?

ANSWER:

Per SOW paragraph 3.3.2, the Contractor shall maintain a software Controlled Development Environment (CDE). Cold start software should be built there.

QUESTION #31

Section # SOW 1.1 Background

SOW 1.1 states, ntd "WIRELESS SECURITY STANDARDS (Version 1.25)", dated 22 June 2004 at this web site: "https://ia.signal.army.mil/docs/bbp_wireless_finl VMT 'reach back' ability so Army maintainers can practice or review UH-72A maintenance tasks as this is convenient for them." In this instance, is the "VMT training content" referring to the MTT-APPs?

ANSWER:

Yes.

QUESTION #32

Section # SOW 1.3 VMT Instruction

Section 1.3 states "The Government will require the ability to upload and display Original Equipment Manufacturer electronic maintenance manuals." Can the government identify what format these manuals will be delivered in?

ANSWER:

Portable document format (pdf) and executables. However, these manuals will not be delivered to the contractor, the Government will upload these manuals after delivery of the VMT system.

QUESTION #34

Section # SOW 3.13 System Technical Support

Section 3.13 states " Upon Government request, the contractor shall provide concurrency, obsolescence, and support requirements that may include software upgrades, training, logistics functions, hardware and software, engineering functions, software licensing, support services, spare parts, maintenance, supply, transportation of equipment, development, productions, and installation of software.

Upon Government request, the contractor shall plan and propose concurrency and technology upgrades to ensure that all training devices are current and relevant to the current equipment

layout, to provide replacement or upgrade of training system components, for enhancement of training effectiveness, and to reduce life cycle support costs.”

Since this is a FFP contract, we assume that these requests will be processed as an Engineering Change Proposal. Is that correct?

ANSWER:

Yes, ECP or other contract modification as required.

QUESTION #34

SOW Section 3.2

Can the Government clarify the reference to “MIL STD 40051-2 Maintenance Manual” with regard to paragraph 3.2.3?

ANSWER:

The correct reference is MIL-STD-40051-2B Preparation of Digital Technical Information for Page Based Technical Manuals.

QUESTION #35

Section # SOW Section 3.3.5.2

This section states in part “At contract award...the contractor will have access to appropriate maintenance manuals...”. Please make the existing “appropriate maintenance manuals” immediately available to all bidders for use in providing proposals that are most beneficial to the Government. If this is not done, some bidder(s) may have an undue advantage due to prior access to such material.

ANSWER:

These documents are not offered as GFI. At contract award, the Government will provide the contractor the opportunity to review copies of the two maintenance manuals for UH-72A maintenance to support their production of the VMT. These are copyrighted documents and shall be used by the contractor within the limits of the copyright.

QUESTION #36

RFP CLIN 0008 C3 Contractor Manpower Reporting

1. Please provide a CDRL and SOW item for Contractor Manpower Reporting.

ANSWER:

CLIN corrected to read:

"CLIN 0008 MANPOWER REPORTING

NSP

Contractor Manpower Reporting IAW paragraph C.3 in section C and contract Clauses."

No CDRL as information is contained in C.3 of Section C. SOW reference removed from CLIN.

QUESTION #37

RFP Section F

52.211-11 Liquidated Damages – Supplies, Services, or Research and Development (SEP 2000)

Is the contractor to propose the value to be inserted in 52.211-11? If so, is that part of the competitive evaluation? If not, what is the value?

ANSWER:

After reviewing the CLAUSE, the Government will delete out Clause 52.211-11

QUESTION #38

RFP Section H

Since all CLINS are FFP, why is Clause 5152.232-5007 REIMBURSEMENT OF TRAVEL, PER DIEM, AND SPECIAL MATERIAL COSTS (PEO- STRI) (SEP 2008) included in the solicitation?

ANSWER:

This clause will be removed.

QUESTION #39

RFP Section L.6.11

Question: RFP Section L.6.11 states in part “Redacted copies of subcontractor proposals shall be submitted in an Appendix within the appropriate proposal volume(s) of the Prime contractor’s proposal (e.g., Technical - Volume I, Cost/Price – Volume II, Administrative – Volume III), evidencing the parties have mutually agreed to all terms and conditions and have a thorough understanding of performance expectations. An original team member, or subcontractor proposal, shall be submitted complete without redactions in a separate sealed envelope by the Prime contractor. Only proprietary information may be redacted from subcontractor proposals: information redacted that is not proprietary may be grounds for evaluation as a significant weakness or deficiency.” We assume that if we provide a signed letter from our team members stating they agree to all solicitation requirements, this is sufficient evidence that they have “agreed to all terms and conditions and have a thorough understanding of performance expectations”. Is this correct?

ANSWER:

Yes, that is acceptable.

QUESTION #40

Section # RFP Section L.7.6 Binding and Labeling

Question: Per RFP Section L.7, no hardcopies of the proposal are required. Please confirm that RFP Section L.7.6 is not applicable for proposal submission.

ANSWER:

No hard copies. 6NOTE 3: Electronic copies only are requested, however, original volumes shall be formatted as required below in the event the Government has the need to print a hard copy.”

QUESTION #41

RFP Section L.9.1 General Instructions

Question (Clarification): These are general instructions for the Technical Volume. However, it states “Offerors shall fill-in the line item pricing at Section B of the Model Delivery Order to include all options. The completed Section B shall be included as Appendix A to the Cost/Price volume. Please confirm that none of this pricing information is to be included in the Technical Volume.

ANSWER:

Pricing information shall not be included in the Technical Volume.

QUESTION #42

RFP Section L.9.2 Content of Technical - Volume I Question: RFP Section L.9.2 states (in part) that the Technical Volume shall include “

Appendix – Redacted copies of subcontractor proposals (if applicable)”. We assume that if all subcontractor information (formal teaming members and vendors for items like computers/monitors/etc.) are embedded into the main technical volume, then copies of subcontractor proposals are not required. Is this correct?

ANSWER:

1. Correct

QUESTION #43

RFP Section L.9.6 UH-72A VMT Technical

1. Question: RFP Section L.9.6 states “This volume provides the Offeror with an opportunity to demonstrate the manner in which the requirements and specifications of this procurement shall be achieved.” Are we required respond to each and every section of the SOW and Performance Specification, or only those technical areas requested in RFP Section L.9.6.1 through L.9.6.3 (for example SOW Section 3.5 specifies the requirements for Software Defect Management....are we to describe that process in our proposal) and RFP Section M.7?

ANSWER:

1. No

QUESTION #44

Section # RFP Section L.10.2 Content of Price - Volume II

Question: Two items in the content list is “Subcontract List(s) of Competitors” and “Justification for Sole Source Subcontract(s)”. However, there are no further instructions listed later (between RFP Section L.10.6 and L.10.7) describing what information is required. Also in the content list is “Documentation Regarding Status of Subcontractor(s) Accounting System”, with no further instructions listed later (after L.10.7) describing what information is required. What information is to be provided for these three items?

ANSWER:

In order to maintain the number structure of Section L in the solicitation we will not add the instruction, rather answer it here, therefore, please provide a listing of your teaming arrangement

to satisfy subcontractor list of competitors. If applicable, please provide justification for Sole Source Subcontractors. Please provide the same level of information regarding the subcontractor accounting system as you would for the prime.

QUESTION #45

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.9.2

Question: RFP Section L.11.6.9.2 states (in part) “Whereas the provision at FAR 52.232-16 provides for customary progress payments, Offerors must in their proposal demonstrate a need for government contract financing and document to what extent it is needed for prompt and efficient performance pursuant with FAR Part 32. Progress Payments are not automatic and must be requested. Where do we make this request in our proposal?”

ANSWER:

This may be done under separate cover and will not be included in the page limitations. However, if the Government has a need to print this information it must not exceed 3 pages.

QUESTION #46

Section # RFP Section M.8

Question: Is RFP Section M.9 supposed to be RFP Section M.8?

ANSWER:

Yes.

QUESTION #47

Section # RFP file “ATT 5 Government Answers to Questions.pdf” Q&A #21.

Question: The response to this question states that only the MTT-APP versions being uploaded into the Blackboard system require testing/scoring. Are the MTT-APP versions that are uploaded into Blackboard required to run on mobile devices such as Android, Windows or iOS?

ANSWER:

MTT-APP versions loaded into Blackboard should run on Blackboard while MTT-APP versions loaded on a given mobile device should run on the mobile device.

QUESTION #48

Section #

RFP Section L.11.6.4

RFP Section L.11.6.4 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section D of the Model Delivery Order that L.11.6.4 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section D of the Model Deliver Under what clause is the offeror to list these items or put “None” since no place for a fill-in is shown?

ANSWER:

5152.247-5006 PRESERVATION, PACKAGING, PACKING AND MARKING (PEO-STRI) (SEP 2006) is currently marked “TBD” and shows Marana, AZ address. We will replace TBD with “[[Insert specific instructions](#)]” which is where you can list your items or indicate “none”.

QUESTION #49

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.5

RFP Section L.11.6.5 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section E of the Model Delivery Order that D” and shows Mhall document its standard(s) for quality at FAR 52.246-11.” This clause is incorporated only by reference with no fill-in space provided.

1. If we are to fill in this Clause, can it be provided by the Government in an updated Section E?
2. If the clause is not provided in an updated Section E in the solicitation, where are we to place it in the proposal since it is only referenced in Section E?

ANSWER:

This should have been incorporated by full text verses by reference. The clause by full text will be provided in the update to the solicitation.

QUESTION #50

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.6.1

RFP Section L.11.6.6.1 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section F of the Model Delivery Order that rder thatn L.11.6.6.1 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section F of the Section F and these should correlate with the IMS provided in the Technical Volume.”

1. Since the Delivery Dates are already listed in terms of DAC, where are we to put the calendar delivery dates (there is no fill in space)?
2. To fill in a period of performance, does this information go into clause 5152.211-5006?
3. If we fill in the period of performance in clause 5152.211-5006 (question 2 above), do we use the tentative award date listed in Section L.3 of the RFP?
4. If we fill in the period of performance in clause 5152.211-5006 (question 2 above), do we assume that all options are awarded at time of initial contract award? If not, what do we use for the award date of options for purposes of filling in this clause?

ANSWER:

1. Clause 52.211-9 Desired and Required Time of Delivery should have been added using full text instead of by reference. The full text will be provided for you to list your information.
2. 5152.211-5006 should be removed.
3. n/a
4. n/a

QUESTION #51

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.6.2

RFP Section L.11.6.6.2 states iFor all Options exercised upon award, the Government intends to base the required/desired delivery dates on the schedule reflected in Section F.”iyates ion L.11.66.6.2r the option CLINs are shown. Please clarify.

ANSWER:

Clause 52.211-9 Desired and Required Time of Delivery should have been added using full text instead of by reference. The full text will be provided for you to list your information.

QUESTION #52

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.8

RFP Section L.11.6.8 states as part of Section H in the Model Delivery Order rThe Offeror shall provide with their proposal proof of insurance to support meeting the requirements at PEO STRI 5152.228-5001.”182tes as part of Section H in the Model Delivery Order rence. The full text will be provided for you to list your information..ime of initial contract

ANSWER:

Correct

QUESTION #53

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.9.1

RFP Section L.11.6.9.1 states in part1The Offeror shall provide the required information in accordance with Section I of the Model Delivery Order.”r.ates in part1.6.9.1required fill-ins are part of clauses 52.223-2 and 252.211-7003. Is this correct?

ANSWER:

Yes this would apply to any fill-in clauses in section I.

QUESTION #54

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.11.2

RFP Section L.11.6.11.2 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section K of the Model Delivery Order that L.11.6.11.2 states that as pantellectual property rights and technical data rights included in their proposal submission IAW DFARS 252.227-7013.52.227-7013.heir propos not listed in Section K of the solicitation. It is incorporated by reference in Section I.

1. If we are to fill in this clause, can it be provided by the Government in an updated Section K?
2. If the clause is not provided as part of an updated Section K, where are we to place it in the proposal?

ANSWER:

252.227-7013 should have been added as full text rather than a reference. It will be added as full text in section K.

QUESTION #55

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.11.3

RFP Section L.11.6.11.3 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section K of the Model Delivery Order that “The Offeror shall complete clause 5152.209-5004.” This cause is not listed in Section K of the solicitation.

1. If we are to fill in this clause, can it be provided by the Government in an updated Section K?
2. If the clause is not provided as part of an updated Section K, where are we to place it in the proposal?

ANSWER:

5152-209-5004 ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST REPRESENTATION (SERVICES) (PEO-STRI) (SEP 2006) should have been added to the solicitation. It will be added as full text.

QUESTION #56

Section # RFP Section L.11.6.11.3

RFP Section L.11.6.11.3 states that as part of the fill-ins for Section K of the Model Delivery Order that “the Offeror shall also complete and sign DFARS 252.227-7017 Identification and Assertion of Use, Release, or Disclosure Restrictions which requires the Offeror to provide a definitive listing of all specific software and technical data the Offeror intends to provide with less than unlimited rights to the U.S. Government, including a listing of each item and the restrictions asserted..” This DFARS Cause is not listed in Section K of the solicitation. It is incorporated by reference in Section I.

1. If we are to fill in this clause, can it be provided by the Government in an updated Section K?
2. If the clause is not provided as part of an updated Section K, where are we to place it in the proposal?

ANSWER:

252.227-7017 IDENTIFICATION AND ASSERTION OF USE, RELEASE, OR DISCLOSURE RESTRICTIONS (JAN 2011) should have been added as full text rather than a reference. It will be added as full text.